

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP

Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)

charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com

50 California Street, 22nd Floor

San Francisco, California 94111

Telephone: (415) 875-6600

Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129)

kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com

Victoria F. Maroulis (Bar No. 202603)

victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com

Doug Colt (Bar No. 210915)

dougcolt@quinnemanuel.com

Gabriel S. Gross (Bar No. 254672)

gabegross@quinnemanuel.com

555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560

Redwood Shores, California 94065-213

Telephone: (650) 801-5000

Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

Attorneys for Plaintiff, TEGIC

COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ASIAN COMMUNICATIONS PTY LTD., an
Australian corporation, and TEGIC
COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Washington
corporation,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ZI CORPORATION, a Canadian corporation,
and ZI CORPORATION OF AMERICA,
INC., a Nevada corporation,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 00-0989 MMC

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL
DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY
BRIEFS RE:**

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE WHY ZI DEFENDANTS
SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN
CONTEMPT OF CONSENT
JUDGMENT**

**MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE WHY DEFENDANTS SHOULD
NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF
CONSENT JUDGMENT**

Date: November 7, 2008

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Crtrm.: 7, 19th Floor

Hon. Maxine M. Chesney


The Court having reviewed plaintiff Tegic Communications, Inc.'s
~~On November 7, 2008, the~~ Administrative Motion ("Motion") to Seal Documents
 Submitted in Support of Plaintiff's Reply Briefs Re: Motion for Leave to File Motion for Order to
 Show Cause Why Zi Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Consent Judgment ("Leave
 to File Reply Motion") and Motion for an Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not be
 Held in Contempt of Consent Judgment ("Contempt Reply Motion"), ~~came on for hearing. All~~
 the Declaration of Doug
 Colt filed in support of the motion to seal, and the Declaration of Eric B. Evans pursuant to
 appearances were stated on the record. ~~The Court having considered the pleadings and papers~~
 Civil Local Rule 69-5(d),
~~submitted in support of the motion, the opposition thereto, and the arguments of counsel,~~ and
 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, Plaintiff's Motion is hereby GRANTED. The Court finds that the
 following information constitutes sealable material under L.R. 79-5:

1. Designated portions of the Leave to File Reply Motion: i:10-15, 17, 19; 1:13-18, 20-24; 2:12-13; 3:16, 22-25; 4:12, 20, 22, 27-28; 5:1-2, 4-16, 18-23, 26-28; 6:1-8, 14, 20, 22-23; 7:5-19, 23, 25-26; 8:5, 7-14, 16, 18, 20-21, 26-27; 10:15, 21-25, 27-28; 11:1-2, 8-9, 11, 17-18; 12:1-4, 10-11, 23-24; and 13:9-10, 21.
2. Designated portions of the Contempt Reply Motion: i:16-20; 5:4-5, 12-17, 19, 21-24; 6:1-11, 14-20, 25-28; 9:7-8; 10:6, 9-15, 17-20, 26-27; 11:1-2, 6, 8-12, 14-15, 17-18, 20-28; and 12:1-3, 6-11, 15-17, 19-20.
3. Designated portions of the Supplemental Declaration of Robert Young in support of the Contempt Reply Motion: 1:11-12, 1:17-18, 2:1-11, and 2:13-21.
4. Designated portions of Exhibits 1 and 2 to the Supplemental Declaration of Doug Colt in support of the Contempt Reply Motion: third paragraph of Exhibit 1, including the block quote and all sentences except for the second to last, and the second paragraph of Exhibit 2, including all sentences except for the second.

The Court hereby directs the Clerk to FILE UNDER SEAL ~~the foregoing materials.~~
 unredacted versions of the foregoing documents. Plaintiff shall file in the public record
 redacted versions of said documents.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: October 31, 2008


 Hon. Maxine M. Chesney
 United States District Judge